REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE GRANT COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2004 TAXES

April 23, 2005



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2 FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404 TELEPHONE 502.573.0050 FACSIMILE 502.573.0067



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Ernie Fletcher, Governor
Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Darrell L. Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randy Middleton, Grant County Sheriff
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

The enclosed report prepared by Tichenor & Associates, LLP, Certified Public Accountants, presents the Grant County Sheriff's Settlement - 2004 Taxes as of April 23, 2005.

We engaged Tichenor & Associates, LLP to perform the financial audit of this statement. We worked closely with the firm during our report review process; Tichenor & Associates, LLP evaluated the Grant County Sheriff's internal controls and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

Enclosure

TELEPHONE 502.573.0050

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE GRANT COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2004 TAXES

April 23, 2005

Tichenor & Associates, LLP has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2004 Taxes for Grant County Sheriff as of April 23, 2005. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$8,395,411 for the districts for 2004 taxes, retaining commissions of \$274,320 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$8,115,825 to the districts for 2004 Taxes. Taxes of \$959 are due to the districts from the Sheriff and refunds of \$43 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comments:

- The Sheriff Should Require Depository Institutions To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral To Protect Deposits
- The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were not adequately insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2004 TAXES	3
Notes To Financial Statements	5
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	9
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON	
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT	
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	12

TICHENOR & ASSOCIATES, LLP CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS and MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

304 MIDDLETOWN PARK PLACE SUITE C LOUISVILLE, KY 40243

BUSINESS: (502) 245-0775 FAX: (502) 245-0725 E-Mail: wtichenor@tichenorassociates.com

To the People of Kentucky Honorable Ernie Fletcher, Governor Robbie Rudolph, Secretary Finance and Administration Cabinet Honorable Darrell L. Link, Grant County Judge/Executive Honorable Randy Middleton, Grant County Sheriff Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Grant County Sheriff's Settlement - 2004 Taxes as of April 23, 2005. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Grant County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Grant County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid as of April 23, 2005, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated October 5, 2005, on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Ernie Fletcher, Governor
Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Darrell L. Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randy Middleton, Grant County Sheriff
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying comments and recommendations, included herein, which discusses the following report comments:

- The Sheriff Should Require Depository Institutions To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral To Protect Deposits
- The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Tichenor & Associates, LLP

Dicken & disperially, N.P.

Audit fieldwork completed - October 5, 2005

GRANT COUNTY RANDY MIDDLETON, COUNTY SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2004 TAXES

April 23, 2005

				Special				
Charges	Co	unty Taxes	Tax	ing Districts	Sc	hool Taxes	St	ate Taxes
Real Estate	\$	1,178,163	\$	885,547	\$	4,082,360	\$	1,008,844
Tangible Personal Property		64,408		55,104		232,722		173,598
Intangible Personal Property								36,020
Fire Protection		1,502						
Increases Through Exonerations		230		173		759		197
Franchise Corporation		153,349		122,386		537,623		
Additional Billings		23		2,893		34		11
Bank Franchises		51,475						
Penalties		8,830		6,664		29,990		7,810
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		(1,230)		214				117
	Ф	1 456 750	Ф	1 072 001	Φ	4 002 400	Ф	1 226 507
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff	\$_	1,456,750	\$_	1,072,981	\$	4,883,488		1,226,597
Credits								
Exonerations	\$	2,098	\$	1,663	\$	7,681	\$	2,091
Discounts		17,912		13,568		62,289		17,999
Delinquents:								
Real Estate		19,395		14,573		66,354		16,601
Tangible Personal Property		239		204		947		737
Intangible Personal Property								47
Uncollected Franchise		1		1		5		
Total Credits	\$	39,645	\$	30,009	\$	137,276	\$	37,475
Taxes Collected	\$	1,417,105	\$	1,042,972	\$	4,746,212	\$	1,189,122
Less: Commissions *	Ψ	60,514	Ψ	44,326	Ψ	118,655	Ψ	50,825
Less. Commissions		00,514		44,320		110,033		30,623
Taxes Due	\$	1,356,591	\$	998,646	\$	4,627,557	\$	1,138,297
Taxes Paid		1,355,760		998,153		4,624,846		1,137,066
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)		686		524		2,250		890
Due Districts or (Refund(s) Due Sheriff)			Α.	**	.	***		244
as of Completion of Fieldwork	\$	145	\$	(31)	\$	461	\$	341

^{*,**,} and ***, See Page 4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

GRANT COUNTY RANDY MIDDLETON, COUNTY SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2004 TAXES April 23, 2005 (Continued)

* Commission	ne:		
		10,000	
10% o	+	10,000	
4.25% o	n \$	3,639,199	
2.5% o	n \$	4,746,212	
** Special Tax	king Dist	tricts:	
Library I	District		\$ 12
Health D	istrict		(19)
Extension	n Distric	et	(13)
Soil Cons	servatio	n District	(3)
Mental H	Health D	istrict	 (8)
Due Distric	ets or (R	efund(s) Due Sheriff)	\$ (31)
*** School Dis	tricts:		
Grant Co	ounty Sc	hools	\$ 248
Williams	town Inc	dependent	 213
Due Distric	ets or (R	efund(s) Due Sheriff)	\$ 461

GRANT COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

April 23, 2005

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue, which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue, which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue, which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. The Sheriff entered into a written agreement with the depository institution and met requirements (a), (b), and (c) stated above. However, as of December 2, 2004, the collateral and FDIC insurance together did not equal or exceed the amount on deposit, leaving \$166,701 of public funds uninsured and unsecured.

GRANT COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT April 23, 2005 (Continued)

Note 2. Deposits (Continued)

The county official's deposits are categorized below to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by the county official as of December 2, 2004.

	Banl	Ralance Balance
FDIC insured	\$	100,000
Collateralized with securities held by pledging depository institution in the county official's name		501,563
Uncollateralized and uninsured		166,701
Total	\$	768,264

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2004. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2005. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was September 14, 2004 through April 23, 2005.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Grant County Sheriff earned \$1,834 as interest income on 2004 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder will be used to operate the Sheriff's office. As of October 5, 2005, the Sheriff owed \$6 in interest to the Grant County school district, \$86 in interest to the Williamstown School District, and was due a refund of \$36 in interest from his fee account.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Grant County Sheriff collected \$42,581 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.430(3). This amount will be used to operate the Sheriff's office. As of October 5, 2005, the Sheriff owes \$3,871 in 10% add-on fees to his fee account.

Note 6. Advertising Costs And Fees

The Grant County Sheriff collected \$1,190 of advertising costs and advertising fees allowed by KRS 424.330(1) and KRS 134.440(2). The Sheriff distributed the advertising costs to the county as required by statute, and the advertising fees will be used to operate the Sheriff's office.

GRANT COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT April 23, 2005 (Continued)

Note 7. Unrefundable Duplicate Payments And Unexplained Receipts Should Be Escrowed

The Sheriff should deposit any unrefundable duplicate payments and unexplained receipts in an interest-bearing account. According to KRS 393.090, property is presumed abandoned after three years, after which time it is turned over to the Kentucky State Treasurer, in accordance with KRS 393.110. For the 2004 taxes, the Sheriff had \$3,902 in unrefundable duplicate payments and unexplained receipts. Therefore, the Sheriff should send a written report to the Treasury Department.





GRANT COUNTY RANDY MIDDLETON, COUNTY SHERIFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

As of April 23, 2005

STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

The Sheriff Should Require Depository Institutions To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral To Protect Deposits

On December 2, 2004, \$166,701 of the County Sheriff's deposits of public funds in depository institutions were uninsured and unsecured. According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. We recommend that the County Sheriff require the depository institution to pledge or provide collateral in an amount sufficient to secure deposits of public funds at all times.

County Sheriff's Response: No Response

INTERNAL CONTROL - REPORTABLE CONDITION:

The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

The Sheriff's office has a lack of segregation of duties, which we consider to be a reportable condition. Due to the entity's diversity of official operations, small staff size, and budget restrictions, the official has limited options for establishing an adequate segregation of duties. We recommend the Sheriff implement some compensating controls to offset the weakness in the internal control structure.

- Cash receipts by mail should be received and recorded by someone separate from the
 duties of handling and/or posting cash receipts to the ledger. At a minimum, only one
 person should be designated to receive and open mail. The Sheriff could greatly increase
 the level of compensating controls by requiring mandatory vacations and performing
 surprise cash counts.
- The Sheriff should periodically compare a daily bank deposit slip to the daily checkout sheet and then compare the daily checkout sheet to the receipt ledger. Any differences should be reconciled. He could document this by initialing the bank deposit slip, daily checkout sheet and receipts ledger.
- The Sheriff should compare the bank reconciliation to the balance in the checkbook. Any differences should be reconciled. The Sheriff could document this by initialing the bank reconciliation and the balance in the checkbook.
- Two people should sign all disbursement checks, one being the Sheriff.

County Sheriff's Response: None

GRANT COUNTY RANDY MIDDLETON, COUNTY SHERIFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS As of April 23, 2005 (Continued)

PRIOR YEAR:

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties - This was not corrected and is repeated in the current year.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

TICHENOR & ASSOCIATES, LLP CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS and MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

304 MIDDLETOWN PARK PLACE SUITE C LOUISVILLE, KY 40243

BUSINESS: (502) 245-0775 FAX: (502) 245-0725 E-Mail: wtichenor@tichenorassociates.com

The Honorable Darrell L. Link, Grant County Judge/Executive Honorable Randy Middleton, Grant County Sheriff Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Grant County Sheriff's Settlement - 2004 Taxes as of April 23, 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated October 5, 2005. The Sheriff prepares his tax settlement in accordance with the modified cash basis of accounting, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Grant County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noticed a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. The reportable condition is described in the accompanying comments and recommendations.

The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the reportable condition described above is not a material weakness.

Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Compliance And Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Grant County Sheriff's Settlement -2004 Taxes as of April 23, 2005 is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and which is described in the accompanying comments and recommendations.

 The Sheriff Should Require Depository Institutions To Pledge Or Provide Sufficient Collateral To Protect Deposits

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and the Kentucky Governor's Office for Local Development and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Tichenor & Associates, LLP

Dichen & disperiate , N.P.

Audit fieldwork completed - October 5, 2005